# HILLCREST MIDDLE 510 Garrison Road Simpsonville, SC 29681 6-8 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 1,121 Students Keith Russell PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. William E. Harner Tommie E. Reece BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 3 6 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 19 out of 25 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

864-967-1826

864-241-3456

864-271-3619

GOOD

ND

	Torking Over	4-YEAR PERIOD
PERFURMANCE	IRENDS LIVER	4-YEAR FERILID

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

**Our School** Middle Schools with Students like Ours



#### **Definition of Critical Terms**

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; Advanced exceeded expectations **Proficient** Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; **Below Basic** the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	35	344	76
Percent satisfied with learning environment	85.7%	73.0%	78.7%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.1%	74.6%	70.3%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	88.2%	82.0%	71.6%

Hillcrest Middle								2301011
PACT PERFORMANC	E BY GR	OUP						
		AND TESTING		on Basic		Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	tient and stranged
	/	ent resting	_ 18t	(18851 /	_iic /	ficiel.	ance	ient neo
	arolla	MOLL OF	lested ologic	JOH / ol	Basic ok	610.	Adh Orof	cient and chi
	/ Elin O	<b>8</b> )/ ``	0/0	/ `	/	/	0/01	Advanced Advanced
			171	igiisn/Lar	iguage A			
All students	1,127	99.4	22.3	47.4	26.7	3.6	30.3	17.6
Gender	040	00.0	27.5	45.7	22.0	2.0	20.0	47.0
Male	610	99.2	27.5	45.7	23.8	3.0	26.8	17.6
Female Racial/Ethnic Group	517	99.6	16.3	49.4	30.0	4.3	34.4	17.6
White	789	99.5	15.7	47.3	32.1	5.0	37.1	17.6
African-American	272	98.9	40.9	46.6	12.1	0.4	12.6	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	100.0	18.8	31.3	50.0	N/A	50.0	17.6
Hispanic	43	100.0	31.7	58.5	9.8	N/A	9.8	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status		100.0	11/1-1	14/74	TV/A	11/7	TN/FA	17.0
Not disabled	944	99.7	14.3	50.2	31.2	4.3	35.5	17.6
Disabled	183	97.8	64.5	32.5	3.0	N/A	3.0	17.6
Migrant Status	100	0110	0 110	02.0	0.0	14/71	0.0	1110
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	1,127	99.4	22.3	47.4	26.7	3.6	30.3	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	1,118	99.4	22.1	47.3	26.9	3.6	30.5	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	231	98.7	48.5	44.0	7.5	N/A	7.5	17.6
Full-pay meals	896	99.6	16.2	48.2	31.2	4.4	35.6	17.6
All at a lante		00.5	22.5	Mathe		40.0	00.0	45.5
All students	1,127	99.9	20.9	39.8	22.4	16.9	39.2	15.5
Gender Male	0.40	400.0	00.0	00.0	04.0	47.0	00.0	45.5
Male Female	610	100.0	22.2	39.3	21.3	17.3	38.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	517	99.8	19.5	40.5	23.6	16.4	40.0	15.5
White	789	99.9	13.4	39.1	25.5	22.0	47.5	15.5
African American	109	100.0	13.4	39.1	12.0	1.6	47.5	10.0

				Mathe	matics			
All students	1,127	99.9	20.9	39.8	22.4	16.9	39.2	15.5
Gender								
Male	610	100.0	22.2	39.3	21.3	17.3	38.6	15.5
Female	517	99.8	19.5	40.5	23.6	16.4	40.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	789	99.9	13.4	39.1	25.5	22.0	47.5	15.5
African-American	272	100.0	44.4	41.1	12.9	1.6	14.5	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	100.0	18.8	18.8	25.0	37.5	62.5	15.5
Hispanic	43	100.0	19.5	48.8	24.4	7.3	31.7	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	944	100.0	12.3	42.4	25.6	19.7	45.3	15.5
Disabled	183	99.5	66.1	26.3	5.3	2.3	7.6	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	1,127	99.9	20.9	39.8	22.4	16.9	39.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	9	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	1,118	99.9	20.8	39.7	22.5	17.0	39.5	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	231	100.0	42.3	40.3	12.9	4.5	17.4	15.5
Full-pay meals	896	99.9	15.9	39.7	24.6	19.8	44.4	15.5

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

- /-(-	I PERF							
		Enrolle	ent 1st ind and Testind	/,	alow Basic		Proficient olo	Advanced Advanced
		,ur	en lesti	lested on Be	OMBO	Basic ol	oroficia	Advanced Advanced
		Enfor	84°, 0/0	) <sub>0/0</sub> 86	84 0/0	0/1	0/0	Advanced Advanced
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 6	331	N/A	16.1	41.6	34.0	8.2	42.2
	Grade 7	335	N/A	11.4	46.4	36.8	5.4	42.2
	Grade 8	293	N/A	15.7	37.2	42.0	5.1	47.1
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
23	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	391	98.7	26.0	40.7	28.4	4.9	33.3
	Grade 7	368	99.7	19.3	52.0	25.9	2.8	28.7
	Grade 8	368	99.7	21.5	49.9	25.7	2.9	28.6

	Mathematics											
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2002	Grade 6	331	N/A	20.4	39.8	24.0	15.8	39.8				
	Grade 7	335	N/A	26.1	30.3	22.5	21.0	43.5				
•	Grade 8	293	N/A	20.9	43.5	19.5	16.1	35.6				
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A				
20	Grade 6	391	99.7	16.8	34.2	27.2	21.7	48.9				
	Grade 7	368	100.0	23.0	37.2	21.9	17.9	39.8				
	Grade 8	368	100.0	23.2	48.5	17.6	10.6	28.2				

## SCHOOL PROFILE

(	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 1,121)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	31.8%	Up from 20.6%	23.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	2.3%	Up from 2.0%	1.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.9%	Up from 96.7%	96.5%	95.2%
	25.7%	Up from 24.7%	29.2%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	15.9%	Up from 15.2%	11.4%	14.1%
	2.3%	Up from 0.9%	2.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.4%	Down from 0.7%	0.8%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 63)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.8%	Down from 56.6%	54.0%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	76.2%	Down from 90.6%	84.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 85.9%	Up from 76.1%	88.9%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	98.8%	Up from 98.7%	96.2%	95.0%
	\$40,566	Down 2.1%	\$41,483	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	10.0 days	Up from 8.8 days	9.5 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	13.0	Up from 12.0	7.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	24.1 to 1	Down from 27.9 to 1	24.4 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	95.3%	Up from 94.4%	93.1%	88.9%
	\$4,546	Down 0.7%	\$5,329	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.1%	Up from 61.2%	63.3%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 98.3%	99.0%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Ahhra	WISTIAN	c tor	Missina	I lata

						ū			
- 1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Hillcrest Middle School increased its population to eleven hundred and twenty seven students in 2002-03, a jump of nine percent over 2001-02. In the third year of our new facility, all classrooms are now in use all day, every day. Students continued to show improvement in PACT scores overall, although our School Report Card rating dropped from a GOOD to a BELOW AVERAGE. In five of the six categories in all three grade levels, our student performance increased. We are working on programs that are designed to raise our improvement rating. We take pride in the fact that we have increased significantly the number of students taking high school credit classes, and we hope to make further increases next year.

Staff development efforts concentrated upon the creation of the School Portfolio, a self-study process to steer the school's efforts towards meeting district and school wide goals. The entire staff participated in identifying objectives and strategies to improve student achievement. The creation of the School Portfolio was a year-long endeavor.

The PTA and the School Improvement Council made outstanding contributions to our school through their connections with the community. Hillcrest Middle School continues to enjoy excellent relations with the community and through the efforts of our SIC, we are working to build partnerships around student standards. We have resurrected some dormant partnerships with businesses in our area, and we look forward to a productive relationship with them. Parents, community, and students continue to be extremely supportive, and with their help, Hillcrest Middle School will remain an outstanding school.

Keith Russell, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.